

LOUISVILLE TIMES.

LOUISVILLE: JOHN O. BULLOCK & JOHN C. NOBLE, EDITORS

DEMOCRATIC NOMINATIONS

FOR PRESIDENT,
JAMES BUCHANAN,
OF PENNSYLVANIA.FOR VICE PRESIDENT,
JOHN C. BRECKINRIDGE,
OF KENTUCKY.

Electoral Ticket:

For the State at large—HON. ELIJAH HISE,
and COL. JOHN W. STEVENSON.

First District—COL. R. D. GHOLSON.

Second District—JOHN P. DEVEREUX.

Third District—JOHN A. FINN.

Fourth District—TIMELEON CRAVENS.

Fifth District—BERNAR MAGOFFIN.

Sixth District—B. R. FICE.

Seventh District—WM. D. REED.

Eighth District—R. W. WOOLLEY.

Ninth District—R. H. STANTON.

Tenth District—HURAM KELSEY.

WEDNESDAY.....JULY 9, 1856.

The Mandate of the Journal.

We feel very sure that our readers are hourly tired of seeing in our columns contradictions of the gross fabrications and lies being constantly perpetrated by the editor of the *Journal*. For our own part it is with the most intense loathing and repugnance, that we follow him through the mire and filth of falsehood, which seems to be his congenital and appropriate abode. Were it not for a stern sense of duty, we should never notice the filthy sheet and its mendacious editor.It is very difficult for one who has not had the same experience, to appreciate how distasteful it is to comment upon the conduct of a man so degraded that no epithet can describe his infamy, and so cowardly that no insult can arouse a spirit of resentment. Just such a man is the editor of the *Journal*.

Promising thus much by way of apology for so frequently introducing this loathsome fellow upon the notice of our readers, we proceed to call their attention to two or three out of the vast mass of lies contained in his editorial leader of yesterday.

Lie 1st.—He says that the Democratic party "cannot point with any degree of certainty to a single Northern State that Mr. Buchanan can carry."

Last year, when Know Nothing was in the zenith of its power, and before the masses of the Southern people saw that it was merely an ally of Northern Abolitionism, it succeeded in carrying by very small majorities two out of the fifteen slave States. The other thirteen voted Democratic by immense majorities. The Democratic party confidently believe that in November they will carry the entire fifteen Southern States. Of Kentucky, one of the two that voted for the Know Nothings, they feel so sure, that they are willing to bet any amount of money upon it, but unfortunately not a single Know Nothing can be found who can be deceived by the *Journal* into risking his money upon the proposition.

Lie 2d.—That even if Mr. Buchanan should receive the 120 electoral votes of the Southern States, "there is no probability," that he can obtain the 29 Northern electoral votes necessary to an election.

Pennsylvania, which gives twenty-seven electoral votes, is conceded by all parties, by a majority of not less than fifty and probably a hundred thousand. Maine, Connecticut, New Hampshire, New York, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Wisconsin, California, are all regarded as positively certain to vote for Buchanan, yet the editor of the *Journal* is unscrupulous enough to publish the absurd lie that he cannot get twenty-nine Northern electoral votes.

Lie 3d.—He says "There is, we believe, no Buchanan electoral ticket in any one of the Northern States."

But all the Northern States, with the exception of three or four, Democratic electoral tickets were appointed before the meeting of the National Convention. Since then electoral tickets have been appointed in those with the exception of New York, and on the 30th of this month, a State convention will assemble in New York to nominate candidates for State offices and an electoral ticket.

Lie 4th.—He states that the Germans in the North, "body and braces, horse, foot, and dragons" have gone over to Fremont.

The Germans who have declared for Fremont are no scoundrels from the Democratic party. The mass of them are infidel Germans, and have before co-operated with the Know Nothings. They are the same class of Germans over which General Pilcher presided at a public meeting in this city, a few years ago, and of which, we believe, R. F. Baird was secretary. They are now affiliated with the Know Nothings, and most of them, we understand, are regularly initiated members of a Know Nothing lodge in this city called the *Western Lights*.With the exception of *bible and religion* having Germans, all the German voter that either Mr. Fremont or Mr. Fillmore will get, can be taken to the polls in an ordinary sized omnibus.How could Southern members of Congress refuse a boon thus offered by the North to the South. (Alluding to the Nebraska bill.) It could only be done by sacrificing themselves upon the altar of their country for their country's good, and this is certainly *too much* from politicians in times like these.—*Filmor's Rochester speech.*

The Know Nothing candidate for the Presidency here asserts that honesty and patriotism are not to be expected of "political men" these days. Such seems to be the prevailing sentiment among the so-called Americans, who can't trust themselves to go to the polls and deposit their ballots without first going aside in secret conclave and there swearing one another in the most solemn manner as to how and for whom they shall vote. No other party in this country does this. The bogus Americans alone require to be put under oath.

If the sacrificing of themselves on the altar of their country for their country's good is too much to expect of political men in times like these, a declaration of this sort before hand by Mr. Fillmore is sufficiently candid on his part. It admonishes his Southern friends not to look for too much from him if he should, for possibility, be elected President—especially when taken in connection with the following remark, found in this same speech—"I fear," says Mr. Fillmore, "that your chairman anticipates too much when he supposes it would be in my power, if elected to the Presidency, to restore harmony to the country."

Massachusetts Know Nothings.

The telegraph has already informed us of the repudiation of Fillmore and the endorsement of Fremont by the Massachusetts K. N. State Council, which up to Fremont's nomination, had steadily sustained Fillmore. Our Boston exchanges now furnish us the details of the action of the Council. It seems 104 towns were represented by 523 delegates. On the first ballot for President the vote was: Fremont 228; Fillmore 219; Stockton 7; Banks, 6; and Pomeroy, (of Kansas) 1. On the second ballot Fremont had 280 and Fillmore 197. Thereupon about 160 Fillmore delegates bolted and got up a meeting on their own hook. After their departure a number who had voted for Fillmore, gave in their adhesion to Fremont. Johnston, of Pa., was nominated for Vice President.

An exchange says that "the names of six Presidents of the United States ended in N—nearly half, and the next N will unquestionably be Buchanan."

Advice from New York report an easier money market, a material advance in flour and wheat, with a large demand. Provisions also higher.

The Cincinnati Commercial denies the report that the Hon. Thomas Ewing intends voting for Buchanan. He has no preference in the present contest.

Prof. Sam G. Mullins, of the Greenville Institute, at Harrodsburg, was presented last week with a beautiful service of silver, consisting of a pitcher, two goblets and a salver.

The Free Soil and Black Republican papers at the North are quite profuse in their compliments to the Louisville Journal, for the aid and comfort it is giving them "its bold and decided" stand, as they term it, against the proslavery men in Kansas.

An exchange says that "the names of six Presidents of the United States ended in N—nearly half, and the next N will unquestionably be Buchanan."

Advice from New York report an easier money market, a material advance in flour and wheat, with a large demand. Provisions also higher.

Mr. Buchanan's Federalism—Andrew Jackson Donelson.

Every intelligent man, who has watched the course of the Louisville Journal for the last six months, must, before this time, have been impressed with the conviction that its editor is animated by an earnest and intense desire for the triumph of the Northern sectional party. False and treacherous as the Journal has ever been to the South, never before have the true proclivities of its editor been so apparent to every reflecting man. He was sent to Kentucky from Connecticut—that nursery of treason and abolition fanaticism—entrusted with the special mission of organizing an abolition party in this State, and from thence to make war upon the institutions of the South. If not the chief, he certainly was an important member of that affiliated band of abolitionists with which the anti-slavery societies of the New England States commenced flooding the slave States about the year 1830, of whom there has, from that time to this, been a never-ceasing tide. Each one of these affiliated abolition miscreants had his special and prescribed line of operations. The Prentices and Callenders were entrusted with the more responsible duty of demoralizing the public sentiment of the State. Fairbanks and his associates confined themselves to the operations of the underground railroads. All were sent to consummate the same villainy—to steal the negroes of Kentucky. The only difference between them was, that one was to operate upon the whole State, through his influence, and the other, because of his inferior capacity, was restricted to dealing individual negroes.

Prentice came to Kentucky reeking with the infamous anti-slavery fanaticism, having just vacated the editorial chair of the *Harford Review*, one of the vile abolition sheets of "New England. But a few months before his immigration to Kentucky, he had written for that paper a violent and invidious article against the institution of slavery, from which the following is an extract:"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes, must oppose it. The people of the South, and put down the dangerous doctrine that under the guise of the terms *we*, the people and *general welfare*, as used in the preamble of the Constitution, the federal government acquired a power to do whatever the discretion of Congress might decide to be best for the common good. We are ready to certify it; but, before that is done, it will not be plausible to say in defense of the *people* that they would vote for a man guilty of countenancing their political oppression and degradation?" Is there a Protestant paper in the country that would not invoke Protestants to OPPOSE A CANDIDATE in favor of the EXCLUSION OF PROTESTANTS FROM OFFICE?

"The purchase of Texas must be opposed. Every man who does not wish to see the power of the Northern and Western States depart forever, every man who does not wish to see a dozen new slave States added to the Union, and to hear the cries of additional millions of wretched negroes

